

Electrodiagnostic Findings in 108 Consecutive Patients Referred to a Post-Polio Clinic

The Value of Routine Electrodiagnostic Studies

Anne C. Gawne,* Bao T. Pham, and Lauro S. Halstead

The Post-Polio Program
National Rehabilitation Hospital
102 Irving Street, N. W.
Washington, DC 20010-2949

The Post-Polio Syndrome: Advances in the Pathogenesis and Treatment Volume 753 pp 383-385 of the Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences May 25, 1995.

Lincolnshire Post-Polio Library copy by kind permission of Dr. Gawne

Many patients with a history of polio develop new symptoms including weakness, pain, fatigue, and changes in function, or post-polio syndrome (PPS).[1] Before a diagnosis of PPS is made, other diagnoses must first be ruled out. Assessment must be done in a comprehensive and coordinated manner.[2] Therefore, as part of our routine evaluation, we do an electromyogram/nerve conduction study (EMG/NCS) on every patient. During examinations on our clinic patients we began to notice (1) electrodiagnostic evidence of polio in limbs not previously felt to be involved; (2) a normal EMG, or evidence of another disease; and (3) EMG evidence consistent with additional neurological lesions, including compression neuropathies, peripheral neuropathies, and radiculopathies. A prospective study using a routine, standardized four-extremity electrodiagnostic protocol was done to quantify the frequency of these occurrences.

METHODS

We studied 108 consecutive patients referred to our post-polio clinic. We performed a comprehensive history and physical examination, and then did an EMG/NCS on all patients, using bilateral median and ulnar sensory nerve conduction studies; four-extremity needle EMG of three muscles on each extremity; appropriate paraspinal and additional limb muscles; and other appropriate nerve conduction studies.

RESULTS

Of the 108 patients, we found only 100 actually had had polio (92%). Of these, 49 patients had subclinical polio. The frequency and distribution with which it occurred is demonstrated in <u>TABLE 1</u>. <u>TABLE 2</u> summarizes the additional abnormal neurological findings. Forty-nine percent of the patients had an

abnormal peripheral nerve study. Carpal tunnel syndrome (CTS), either alone or in conjunction with an ulnar nerve neuropathy, was the most frequent finding, in a total of 38% of all patients.

TABLE 1. Frequency of Subclinical Polio in 100 Consecutive Post-Polio Patients			
Number of Limbs involved per Patient	Patients (N)	Total Number of Limbs	
1	23	23	
2	20	40	
3	4	12	
4	2	8	
Total	49	83	

TABLE 2. Additional Electrodiagnostic Findings in 100 Consecutive Post-Polio Patients			
Finding	Patients (N)	Percent	
Carpal tunnel syndrome (CTS)	35	35	
Ulnar neuropathy at the wrist	2	2	
CTS and ulnar neuropathy	3	3	
Peripheral neuropathy	3	3	
Brachial plexopathy	1	1	
Tibial neuropathy	1	1	
Radiculopathy	4	4	
Total	49	49	

DISCUSSION

Bromberg and Waring performed electrodiagnostic studies in a group of patients seen in their clinic, and found that 9% had normal EMGs, or borderline normal EMGs.[3] Other authors have found EMG evidence of old anterior horn cell disease in extremities thought to be uninvolved.[4-6] The reason for this high prevalence of subclinical polio is related to the ability of the neuromuscular system to function and reinnervate even when many anterior horn cells are lost. The long-term effect of this, however, is that progressive and unexpected weakness may develop in those "nonaffected" extremities. Additional electrodiagnostic findings including CTS and ulnar nerve neuropathy have been reported to be prevalent in post-polio patients.[7,8] Werner et al.[7] demonstrated that assistive device use is a major risk factor, and we also found that duration of use and severity of polio were additional considerations.[8]

CONCLUSION

Our findings strongly support the value of a standardized four-extremity EMG/NCS as an adjuvant to a comprehensive history and physical examination. It helps to differentiate between old polio and other neurological diagnoses. There is a high prevalence of subclinical polio, which is important to know about in order to give proper recommendations regarding rest and activity. Given the frequency of risk factors for development of potentially treatable neurological lesions like CTS, early detection is valuable. We believe routine electrodiagnostic testing is essential for proper evaluation and management of the post-polio patient.

REFERENCES

- 1. HALSTEAD, L. S. & D. O. WIECHERS. 1985. *In* Late Effects of Poliomyelitis.: 15-20. Symposium Foundation. Miami, FL.
- 2. HALSTEAD, L. S. 1991. Assessment and differential diagnosis for post-polio syndrome. Orthopedics **14(11)**: 1209-1217. [Lincolnshire Library Full Text]
- 3. BROMBERG, M. B. & W. P. WARING. 1991. Neurologically normal patients with suspected postpoliomyelitis syndrome: Electromyographic assessment of past denervation. Arch. Phys. Med. Rehabil. 72: 493-497. [PubMed Abstract]
- 4. DALAKAS, M. C. 1986. New neuromuscular symptoms in old poliomyelitis: A three year follow up study. Eur. Neurol. **35:** 318-387. [PubMed Abstract]
- 5. WIECHERS, D. O. 1981. Late changes in the motor unit after acute poliomyelitis. Muscle & Nerve 4: 524-528. [PubMed Abstract]
- 6. HAYWARD, M. & D. SEATON. 1979. Late sequelae of paralytic poliomyelitis: A clinical and electromyographical study. J. Neurol. Neurosurg. Psychiatry **42:** 117-122. [PubMed Abstract]
- 7. WERNER, R. A., W. WARING & G. DAVIDOFF. 1989. Risk factors for median mononeuropathy of the wrist in postpoliomyelitis patients. Arch. Phys. Med. Rehabil. **70:** 464-467. [PubMed Abstract]
- 8. GAWNE, A. C., J. N. ASEFF & L. S. HALSTEAD. 1991. Electrodiagnostic findings in 98 consecutive post-polio patients. Arch. Phys. Med. Rehabil. 72: 813.

^{*} Corresponding author.



The Lincolnshire Post-Polio Network

Registered Charity No. <u>1064177</u>

An Information Service for Polio Survivors and Medical Professionals

All enquiries, book requests, medical article requests, membership fees, items for newsletters and donations to

The Secretary, Lincolnshire Post-Polio Network PO Box 954, Lincoln, Lincolnshire, LN5 5ER United Kingdom

Telephone: <u>+44 (0)1522 888601</u> Facsimile: <u>+44 (0)870 1600840</u>

Email: <u>info@lincolnshirepostpolio.org.uk</u>
Web Site: <u>www.lincolnshirepostpolio.org.uk</u>

The Lincolnshire Post-Polio Network takes great care in the transcription of all information that appears at this site. However, we do not accept liability for any damage resulting directly or otherwise from any errors introduced in the transcription. Neither do we accept liability for any damage resulting directly or otherwise from the information available at this site. The opinions expressed in the documents available at this site are those of the individual authors and do not necessarily constitute endorsement or approval by the Lincolnshire Post-Polio Network.

© Copyright The Lincolnshire Post-Polio Network 1999 - 2010.

Copyright is retained by The Lincolnshire Post-Polio Network and/or original author(s). Permission is granted to print copies of individual articles for personal use provided they are printed in their entirety. Links from other Internet WWW sites are welcome and encouraged. We only ask that you let us know so that we can in future notify you of critical changes. Reproduction and redistribution of any articles via any media, with the exception of the aforementioned, requires permission from The Lincolnshire Post-Polio Network and where applicable, the original author(s).

Document preparation: Chris Salter, Original Think-tank, Cornwall, United Kingdom.

Document Reference: <URL:http://www.zynet.co.uk/ott/polio/lincolnshire/library/gawne/electrodiagnosticfindings.html>

Created: 4th October 1999

Last modification: 24th January 2010.

